Table 3   [Q1] How old is your most desirable retirement age?

	Frequency	Percentage (Base=512)
40	7	1.4%
45	13	2.5%
50	54	10.5%
55	113	22.1%
57	1	0.1%
58	4	0.9%
60	**180**	**35.2%**
62	2	0.3%
63	2	0.5%
65	111	21.7%
70	9	1.7%
75	0	0.1%
80	2	0.4%
Don't know / hard to say	14	2.8%
Total	512	100.0%
Mean	58.5	
Median	60.0	
Standard error	0.26	
Base	498	

Table 4   [Q2] How about your most desirable retirement fund?

	Frequency	Percentage (Base=512)
$1 million or below	41	8.0%
$1,000,001 to $ 2,000,000	**116**	**22.7%**
$2,000,001 to $ 4,000,000	111	21.6%
$4,000,001 to $ 6,000,000	105	20.5%
$6,000,001 to $ 8,000,000	33	6.4%
$8,000,001 to $ 10,000,000	26	5.0%
More than $ 10 millions	31	6.1%
Don't know / hard to say	50	9.7%
Total	512	100.0%
Mean	$3,941,661.3	
Median	$3,000,000.5	
Standard error	$128,000.70	
Base	462	

Table 5   [Q3] Which would be the major sources that support your spending after retirement of old age life? [Do not read out answers, multiple answers allowed]

	Frequency	Percentage of responses (Base=788)	Percentage of sample (Base=512)
Personal savings (excluding MPF)	**334**	**42.4%**	**65.3%**
Investment	129	16.4%	25.3%
Earnings from offspring	117	14.8%	22.8%
MPF	73	9.3%	14.3%
Pension	63	8.0%	12.4%
Government Social Welfare (e.g. CSSA, Old Age Allowance)	25	3.2%	4.9%
Earnings from spouse	20	2.5%	3.9%
Will never retire	3	0.3%	0.5%
Don't know / hard to say	23	3.0%	4.6%
Total	788	100.0%	

Table 6   [Q4] Which aspects do you think you are spending / will spend most after retirement? [Do not read out answers, multiple answers allowed]

	Frequency	Percentage of responses (Base=849)	Percentage of sample (Base=512)
Daily life expenditure (e.g. clothes, foods, transportations)	**429**	**50.5%**	**83.8%**
Medical	183	21.5%	35.7%
Housing	119	14.0%	23.2%
Enjoy life（e.g. travelling）	102	12.0%	19.9%
Supporting offspring's life	6	0.7%	1.1%
Investment	5	0.6%	1.0%
Others (See below)	2	0.2%	0.4%
Don't know / hard to say	4	0.4%	0.7%
Total	849	100.0%	
Other responses that cannot be grouped			
Donation	1	0.2%	0.3%
Funeral	1	0.1%	0.1%
Total	2	0.2%	0.4%

Table 7   [Q5] Are you currently working full-time or part-time? If not, are you a full time housewife or a retiree?

	Frequency	Percentage (Base=512)
Yes, working class	**306**	**59.7%**
No, full-time housewife (skip to Q9)	129	25.3%
No, others (skip to Q9)	41	8.0%
No, retiree	36	6.9%
Don't know / hard to say	<1	0.1%
Total	512	100.0%

Table 8   [Q6] [Only ask those "working class" or "retiree" in Q5, base=341] Then are you currently being, or had been, covered by an MPF scheme?

	Frequency	Percentage (Base=334)
Yes	**259**	**77.3%**
No (skip to Q9)	76	22.7%
Total	334	100.0%
Missing	*7*	

Table 9   [Q7] [Only for those who answered "yes" in Q6, base=259] Do you think the current MPF contributions are sufficient for your retirement or not?

	Frequency	Percentage (Base=259)
No	**229**	**88.6%**
Yes (skip to Q9)	12	4.8%
Don't know / hard to say (skip to Q9)	17	6.6%
Total	259	100.0%

Table 10 [Q8] [Only for those who answered "no" in Q7, base=229] If not, in your opinion, what would be a more preferred retirement protection scheme? [Do not read out answers, multiple answers allowed]

	Frequency	Percentage of responses (Base=276)	Percentage of sample (Base=229)
Personal investment	**33**	**11.8%**	**14.3%**
Amending the current system and increase the employer's contribution to _____% of one's monthly salary [Input exact figure]	**31**	**11.1%**	**13.4%**
Amending the current system to increase the profit of contribution	21	7.6%	9.2%
Government to provide additional subsidies	21	7.5%	9.0%
Amending the current system and increase the employee's contribution to _____% of one's monthly salary [Input exact figure]	20	7.2%	8.7%
Personal savings	20	7.1%	8.6%
Scrap the current system	10	3.6%	4.3%
More choices of MPF scheme	9	3.1%	3.7%
Amending the current system and lift the salary cap	8	3.0%	3.6%
Implement a Universal Pension Scheme	8	3.0%	3.6%
Amending the current system and reduce administration fees	7	2.4%	2.8%
Implement a public accumulation fund	1	0.3%	0.4%
Other (See below)	3	1.1%	1.3%
Don't know / hard to say	86	31.2%	37.6%
Total	276	100.0%	

Other responses that cannot be grouped

Amending the current system and decrease the employee's contribution	2	0.6%	0.7%
Abolishing the age restriction and getting back the saving immediately after retirement	1	0.2%	0.2%
Developing a habit of saving	1	0.2%	0.2%
Educating the youth to save money	<1	0.1%	0.2%
Total	3	1.1%	1.3%

Table 11 [Only for those who answered "Amending the current system and increase the employee's contribution" in Q7, base=20] Amending the current system and increase the employee's contribution to _____% of one's monthly salary [Input exact figure]

	Frequency	Percentage (Base=20)
10	13	74.5%
15	4	22.5%
20	1	3.0%
Total	18	100.0%
Missing	*2*	
Mean	11.4	
Median	10.0	
Standard error	0.62	
Base	18	

Table 12 [Only for those who answered "Amending the current system and increase the employer's contribution" in Q7, base=31] Amending the current system and increase the employer's contribution to _____% of one's monthly salary [Input exact figure]

	Frequency	Percentage (Base=20)
7	1	3.6
9	2	7.0
10	18	58.2
15	2	7.7
20	4	12.1
25	2	5.1
30	2	6.2
Total	30	100.0%
Missing	*1*	
Mean	13.4	
Median	10.0	
Standard error	1.16	
Base	30	

Table 13 [Q9] If the Government eventually decides to implement the Universal Pension Scheme, do you support or object that all Hong Kong people, including housewives and non-working women, should be entitled to this protection? [Interviewer to probe intensity]

		Frequency	Percentage (Base=512)
Very much support	) **Support**	199) 388	38.8%) **75.7%**
Somewhat support		189	36.9%
Half-half		20	3.9%
Somewhat object	) Object	47) 69	9.3%) 13.5%
Very much object		22	4.2%
Don't know / hard to say		35	6.8%
Total		512	100.0

Table 14 [Q10] Overall speaking, do you think the existing retirement protection provided for women, including housewives and non-working women, in Hong Kong is sufficient or not? [Interviewer to probe intensity]

		Frequency	Percentage (Base=512)
Very much sufficient (skip to Q12)	) **S**ufficient	3) 40	0.7%) 7.8%
Somewhat sufficient (skip to Q12)		37	7.1%
Half-half (skip to Q12)		29	5.7%
Somewhat insufficient	) **Insufficient**	171) 404	33.5%) **78.9%**
Very much insufficient		233	45.4%
Don't know / hard to say (skip to Q12)		39	7.7%
Total		512	100.0

Table 15 [Q11] [Only for those who answered "somewhat insufficient" or "very insufficient" in Q10, base=403] Why you think the retirement protection for women in Hong Kong is not sufficient? [Do not read out answers, multiple answers allowed]

	Frequency	Percentage of responses (Base=488)	Percentage of sample (Base=403)
Full-time housewives are not covered by any retirement protection scheme	**145**	**29.7%**	**36.0%**
Not enough social welfare for elderly women	**142**	**29.1%**	**35.2%**
Can only rely on the support of spouse / family members	45	9.2%	11.2%
Inflation / poor economic prospects	25	5.2%	6.3%
Not enough social welfare for elderly women	25	5.1%	6.2%
Most HK women still need to take care of the family, which affect their preparation for the retirement	22	4.6%	5.5%
Still have sex discrimination against women which affects their opportunity	12	2.5%	3.0%
Others (See below)	14	2.8%	3.4%
Don't know / hard to say	57	11.8%	14.2%
Total	488	100.0%	
Other responses that cannot be grouped			
Low tax revenue in Hong Kong	5	0.9%	1.1%
Inadequate protection since the scheme was implemented too late	2	0.5%	0.6%
No special funds	2	0.5%	0.6%
No support for those with no spouse / children	2	0.3%	0.4%
Large daily expenses for single women / women without children	1	0.1%	0.1%
Get only a small amount of fund after a long time of contributing to MPF	1	0.1%	0.1%
The current scheme only protect young people	1	0.1%	0.1%
Too much expense	1	0.1%	0.1%
Can only get old Age Allowance after 65	<1	0.1%	0.1%
Not enough pension	<1	0.1%	0.1%
Total	14	2.8%	3.4%

Table 16 [Q12] Do you think the current social welfare system in Hong Kong, in particular the retirement protection, is fair to both genders? [Interviewers to probe intensity]

		Frequency	Percentage (Base=512)
Very much fair (skip to Q14)	) **Fair**	26) 283	5.1%) **55.3%**
Somewhat fair (skip to Q14)		257	50.3%
Half-half (skip to Q14)		55	10.7%
Somewhat unfair	) Unfair	87) 115	17.0%) 22.5%
Very much unfair		28	5.5%
Don't know / hard to say (skip to Q14)		58	11.4%
Total		512	100.0

Table 17 [Q13] [Only ask those who answered "somewhat unfair / very unfair" in Q12, base=115] Then, do you think the following measures can improve the unfair situation? Any other suggestions? [Read out answers, order to be randomized by computer, multiple answers allowed]

	Frequency	Percentage of responses (Base=358)	Percentage of sample (Base=115)
Provide more medical care protection for women	**71**	**19.9%**	**61.8%**
Establish special funds to protect women who are financially supported by their spouses / family	**71**	**19.8%**	**61.5%**
Provide more child-care services, so working women can concentrate on pursuing their career	66	18.6%	57.6%
Establish a Universal Pension Scheme	65	18.0%	56.0%
Educate women to prepare for their retirement	54	15.1%	46.8%
Raise the contribution of MPF for working women	26	7.3%	22.5%
Others (See below)	3	0.8%	2.6%
Don't know / hard to say	2	0.5%	1.5%
Total	358	100.0%	
Other responses that cannot be grouped			
Lower the working age of women	1	0.4%	1.2%
To balance supply and demand	1	0.2%	0.5%
Provide more jobs to women	1	0.2%	0.5%
Limit the number of mainland immigrants to Hong Kong	1	0.2%	0.5%
Total	3	0.8%	2.6%

Table 18 [Q14] Among the existing LegCo members, which one do you think can represent and fight for women's right most? [Do not read out answers, single answer only]

	Frequency	Percentage (Base=512)
Audrey Eu	**33**	**6.5%**
Regina Ip	17	3.3%
Emily Lau	13	2.5%
Tanya Chan	9	1.8%
Cyd Ho Sau-lan	6	1.2%
Lee Cheuk-yan	4	0.7%
Starry Wai-king Lee	1	0.3%
Wong Yuk-man	1	0.1%
Leung Kwan-yuen	1	0.1%
Chan Hak-kan	1	0.1%
Paul Tse Wai-chun	1	0.1%
Li Fung-ying	<1	0.1%
None	16	3.2%
Don't know	410	80.1%
Total	512	100.0%